

**CFAUSD FACILITIES FEEDBACK
SUMMARY – AUGUST 2016**

WHAT ARE YOU GLAD TO SEE THE BOARD IS CONSIDERING?

- Getting off the dime.
- Fixing schools
- Work with what you have.
- A new Stillson and improvements at other schools.
- Fix up buildings and solve overcrowding.
- Growth.
- That we are going to do something we need to do it while rates are low.
- Safety – how learning occurs therefore impacting space!
- Trying to consider community needs/views.
- Rebuilding Stillson in the same location.
- So glad to see both space and conditions are being considered with the future of students needs in mind. Excellent job gathering data and community feedback.
- Finally doing something.
- Improving our buildings to make them handicap accessible and addition classroom space.
- I'm glad that all aspects are being recognized. It's hard to pick just one.
- New high school and new Stillson.
- Looking at the different options being looked at.
- Being courageous enough to take action to improve school facilities.
- Space and condition for all buildings, cost to taxpayers.
- Learning needs of children.
- New high school in a new area (not west hill).
- Remodeling Halmstad, Jim Falls, Hillcrest.
- New high school. New Stillson school.
- Repairs and building needs.
- Space issues, repairs.
- Moving forward/our schools need space and updates.
- Communications.
- I am glad the Board is considering both options, especially utilizing existing buildings in different ways to meet changing needs.
- Glad some movement is occurring.
- Breaking the options into a space versus conditions.
- Space needs in elementary schools and high schools.
- Happy to see the Board continue to push forward. Something needs to be done.
- Going to referendum.
- Something; glad there is conversation to address the needs.
- Going to referendum – we can't keep deferring this. The latest survey showed the community is ready for this.
- Space and condition needs.
- Glad they are continuing to take feedback and looking at the whole picture.
- The community's option.
- Facility conditions – brought costs down from original costs.
- I am glad to hear the Board is looking at multiple options.
- I am glad they are looking at different options.
- Keeping neighborhood schools.
- Future consideration of needs.
- Space. Class size.
- I am not glad to see that the Board is forcing this down the community's throat! If it's our

- decision, why are there only two options which are basically the same – new high school.
- Fixing broken issues.
 - Repairing schools.
 - Long overdue maintenance. Need to take care of our buildings!
 - Making our schools more competitive in the 21st century.
 - Happy the Board is understanding there are many urgent facility needs.
 - Space issues at Hillcrest.
 - Attending to the needs of our schools so the staff members are able to meet the needs of each student in this new era of education.
 - About time.
 - Making space for future of Chippewa students.
 - Multiple options
 - I'm glad to see they are considering options instead of forcing one.
 - New HS and moving other schools. I know the space needs are important.
 - I'm glad you are doing such an in-depth look at the problems and solution and bringing in the public so well.
 - Both are good.
 - Options – thank you for digging deeper to find a solution the community will support.
 - Addressing conditions.
 - Yes
 - I'm glad the Board is thorough, thoughtful, and also considerate of the community.
 - Better spaces for learning!
 - A new high school – much needed!
 - Updating/creating more space for students.
 - I'm glad to see that the Board recognizes that there is a problem. I really hope the Board moves forward with a referendum.
 - Conditions, leaks, etc., but mainly learning environments.
 - The needs of our district.
 - Space issues.
 - Addressing the issues now for the future of the district.
 - Yes
 - Safety and quality of education.
 - Stillson School.
 - All the current facilities, how they are being used, future uses, conditions.
 - Upgrading Stillson.
 - All of it! I love that new teaching styles are considered in planning.
 - Needs of district.
 - School upgrades.
 - My kids are now in MS and HS but it has been a concern since kindergarten, and with all the new construction it is a bit need.
 - Glad to see improvements. Either A or B should be passed.
 - That improvements are needed in the district.
 - Space issues.
 - Building a new Stillson school.
 - Stillson will have more space and the water pressure will be safer.
 - Addressing the facilities needs of our district and bringing a referendum to our public to vote on!
 - Everything.
 - Keeping Stillson school and not closing it down. Stillson area continues to grow – new subdivisions going in.
 - They are respectful to the community input.

- Space needs. Small group areas are extremely important.
- Long term planning, not band aids.
- Finally doing something to address needs.
- Updates to degrading buildings.
- Options and community feedback prior to the campaign.
- Future growth – the elementary schools are going to all need a next space. . We currently don't have it!
- Repairs and maintenance.
- Something needs to be put on ballot in November... it does not appear that these issues can be put off any longer.
- Repairs and space needs.
- Safety and learning environments.
- Needed repairs.
- The whole picture and especially that they will communicate to the public.
- Multiple options.
- Glad to see that the community is welcome/encourage to make suggestions.
- Safety and space as well as future needs. Halmstad option.
- Addressing space issues.
- Updating facilities that desperately need it.
- Both space and condition.
- I am glad to see that there was a comprehensive study done to provide multiple options.
- Safety and building condition.
- Conditions and space needs.
- Building repairs.
- Maintenance.
- Rebuilding Stillson – not improving, but rebuilding.
- To take care of conditions.
- Building maintenance – condition.
- Updating facilities.
- That we need to work on our infrastructure.
- Space and conditions. Options were very informative.
- Space for 21st century learning.
- All options
- New Stillson
- Improvement for all schools – private funding?
- Conditions and space – future looking.
- Taking care of the taxpayer's property.
- Feedback from community.
- Overcrowding 1: I would like to see an option that if one of the plans is voted yes, there would be a second question to either update or replace Stillson. 2: Open up high school campus to businesses to rent space to generate revenue.
- I'm glad the Board is considering a referendum that will positively impact students.
- That we're looking long term.
- Everything in both of these options is critical to consider.
- New high school.
- Improvements and future needs before the future is here.
- I'm glad you are thinking about many things at once – conditions and space concerns.
- Improvement rather than status quo.
- Community feedback.
- The educational needs of the students – particularly their future needs.
- Neighbor schools for elementary.

- Upgrading facilities throughout the district.
- Multiple issues.
- Addressing the structural issues. Repair and remodeling.
- Beginning to really address the needs of the district.
- Actually moving forward and stop passing the buck!
- A new Stillson being built. Stillson is in a richer neighborhood, worst condition.
- Options, but we need more options with lower costs. Give us a gold, bronze, and silver option. Gold is the most ideal for repairs. As a teacher, I don't need the gold of materials and space. Give us options for less. Less wants than needs. I don't think people think Stillson is fine. They think, "we don't want to close the school". Keep Stillson out here. But it's crazy so polar opposite for options A & B. It's not even listed in option B.
- Updating repairs/conditions.
- I wish we could look at all the needs.
- Finally doing something.
- Keeping neighborhood schools.
- Space issues – building issues.
- Facility needs.
- A and B are great options. Great and comprehensive presentation, Heidi.
- A new Stillson.

WHAT WORRIES DO YOU HAVE?

- These plans do not do enough.
- If high school is addressed first, which is what I support, Stillson is being ignored. I'd like them to be prioritized in Option B after the high school cost is taken out.
- Some parts of one plan seem like more of wants and DO NOT WANT. Location of possible new elementary, 4/5 grade building and seems like plan 2 could lead to that. Single Mom with a fixed income, will I have to move?
- We won't value our children.
- Expect B would pass and Stillson will get ignored.
- Moving 5th graders to Middle School.
- That the Board could not record feedback sessions versus written (Board didn't want feedback).
- That if we go with a high school that we are considering buying land from someone who is serving on the planning commission. That was a report that I heard, if true that is 100% unacceptable!
- Lack of attention to Stillson.
- Increase in taxes.
- Outcome of Stillson.
- Cost \$115 million dollars is still outrageous! On top of that, it sounds like you'll be coming back for more \$ in 20-25 years.
- What if the options don't even go to referendum? What happens if the referendum is put on the ballot and not passed? What is going to happen to Stillson if Option A is not chosen – will it last 10-15 years?
- Kids keep getting treated like they are insignificant.
- Why do we share a pool w/ overcrowding the middle school? Why does every other school we compete against have their own pool?
- Too expensive – facilities plan (comprehensively). I am concerned that the public will not vote for it. After hearing the presentation, I believe the rational is legitimate.
- The community won't support their schools financially.
- Paying property tax increases on a fixed income.
- Taxes.
- Tax dollars.

- Option B doesn't include any Stillson fixes, what is our highest need...(?)
- Safety conditions of my daughter at Stillson for the next 2 years.
- New high school – Hwy N – traffic issues and my home location.
- Continuing water issues at Stillson.
- That tax levy might fail.
- That my children can't flush the toilet in their school.
- Putting more money into Jim Falls – 20-year referendum.
- Cost – taxing people/businesses so much/higher rates that people have to move.
- Cost.
- But, why does it have to be so high?
- Referendum questions can be difficult for voters to understand the true need issue.
- How long can Stillson continue? Hope community rallies behind one of these so our district can continue to grow in excellence.
- If Option B, would Stillson last another 10-15 years.
- Maintenance issues that have compounded over the years.
- How we spend our money now. So much waste.
- Dollars – I know this is round 1.
- Cost, concern about next phase keeps adding \$.
- The condition of Stillson. Need for a pool at the HS – diving.
- I am worried that my kids are going to be going to a high school in either option that has less facilities than the one that I graduated from in 1993. I lived in Middleton WI – similar population at the time.
- What would happen to Stillson w/ Option B. 25 years too far out for next phase.
- Not doing it right the first time.
- Community openness and engagement to education.
- Tax implications for home owners – seems like an overly ambitious plan.
- That the public turns the Board room resolutions down.
- This is way too much money for an aging population. Businesses will move out. Tax base will be eroded. We will move.
- Concerned about if you go with “space option B” ... is Stillson really sound enough to last 10 years' until it is rebuilt?
- Also concerned about cutting corners on HS building. I feel stadium/track is essential to building of new high school.
- COST! Does not address staffing – specifically SPED in any schools in either Plan. The only good HS option is Hwy N. Use Armory for offices – will be empty in 2017!
- Timeline and how this impacts students. We don't need more stress on space or chaos.
- Keeping high school on the west hill- traffic, litter, safety.
- Tax impact for home owners on fixed incomes.
- Please include a pool at the new HS and athletic fieldhouse (most D1 school districts have these.
- That neither option will pass. Cost to tax payers.
- That the Board won't do question #1.
- Traffic for all (new Stillson and new high school).
- Worried that others may fail to see the value in doing this now.
- Space, we are over capacity. Maintenance issues/conditions of our buildings that need to be remedied to keep our students and staff healthy.
- No diving well or pool.
- Added property taxes which never seem to go away or decrease. After all, school taxes are only part of the total property tax.
- Strain on tax payers? Possible location change for high school.
- Nothing will be done.
- Not spending enough now.

- It will take too long and the wrong choice will be made!
 - The costs to the individual tax payer.
 - Need to get 165 mm option back on the table.
 - The raise in my property taxes.
 - Swimming skills are important to me. Can provision/space be included in plan for a pool to be added later!
 - Don't rubber stamp construction costs. Pay multiple people to shop construction materials costs.
 - What will be the extra cost in 15-20 years to finish the total remodel.
 - That the Board doesn't support going to referendum. This needs to happen in November!
 - "Fancy/confusing" wording on the November ballot. It needs to be very clear-cut and specific.
 - How we (the school board) move forward without a referendum?
 - A lot to do with limited resources.
 - That the whole Board is not behind this.
 - That the two options are all or nothing for Stillson – 15+ years that building needs a lot of work now.
 - What it's going to cost us as citizens per year.
 - The seven Board members assume what the community wants. The Board should allow the November vote so the community can decide what they want.
 - Stillson
 - Option B – concerned with not having sports facilities (outdoor) that can be used completely. No pool. No plan for Stillson if Option B is chosen.
 - That the Board will wait.
 - Not having game space at a new HS.
 - That the vocal, negative few will impact decisions, rather than the quiet majority.
 - Using the Middle School for an elementary school. Building looks like a factory or prison. Terrible layout and very few windows.
 - Too many items in each option.
 - Why was a company used for facilities review, that directly benefits by building a new facility? Seems like a conflict of interest.
 - Not spending tax dollars wisely.
 - What will happen to Stillson? 20 years with all these issues worries us.
 - With Option B how long will upgrades last on Stillson before new building is needed?
 - Cost, length of loan, pushing for new high school on both plans. I truly feel like the building of a new high school is the push – it has been a priority in so many surveys and listening sessions, but in looking at feedback, and in my own opinion, this appears to be less of a priority for the community.
 - Plan A- the new district office puts the needs of about 50 adults above students. Plan B – the new high school needs a pool.
 - Cost and loan term = unreasonable. Why are we asking for a referendum for differed operational expenses? Concerned we aren't budgeting appropriately!!! Operational expenses should have been mapped and budgeted. Will we need another referendum for operational expenses 10 years from now?
 - Exact location of high school.
 - No pool at high school? If no competitive field at HS has to be built close to Middle School.
 - Taxes and bussing kids – long time on the bus, teacher and student radius.
 - Schools just allow 'problem areas' to go bad to worse instead of fixing problems immediately!
- Building something we don't need. I am 69 years old, my husband is ill. Cost to taxpayers. I cannot retire because of income issues. If this passes, we may have to sell our home due to the future taxes plus the street upgrades we are still paying. How many other people are in

the same situation?

- The financial (tax) impact on low-income and fixed-income residents in the district.
- Do we really know costs without land cost?
- Using the Middle School for elementary. The school has very few windows. Our children referred to it as 'The Prison'. The layout is confusing.
- It seems strange to build a new HS without a pool. The students here will never win a BRC championship because we don't have a diving well. We are going to continue that?? Has there ever been a new, large high school built without a pool?
- My biggest issue is that I cannot imagine how the middle school would work as a replacement for Hillcrest.
- HS plan is just a partial HS without completing the fields and pool – adjust so it is what it must be – do it all or nothing – pool is a must and track.
- The Board is selling the school community short due to pricing. They should be selling them on the investment.
- Not investing enough in the future.
- Cost. \$115 million is a lot of money but what is being proposed seems like it's going to cost more.
- That a resolution won't be created. This was the question asked a year ago and now again. We need it
- If we go with B, where does that leave Stillson? If we go with B, how much repurposing would have to be done to meet the needs of the new tenants?
- Timeline and moving Hillcrest.
- Multi-use space. This has been attempted numerous times and seems to fail. MS had community space, but that failed. Parkview included 'pods' that were never used, except for storage. The high school added 'resource centers' in the '99 addition and they were only used for computer lab space, not at all (business ed had a community space that was almost never used and was eventually turned into a classroom.) Hopefully times have changed, but in at least three buildings in the past this ended up being wasted.
- I worry that if Option B is passed the septic at Stillson is not addressed. I worry the cost will deter many voters from endorsing the referendum, i.e., elderly, seniors.
- How it's paid for (just taxes) and for how long will it take to pay this off?
- I'm afraid that the price may still be too high to pass, but I'm not sure how it can still be broken down further.
- I wonder about buying houses? I would like Hillcrest School to stay because children often have to walk so far.
- Running out of space sooner than anticipated if Option A is selected.
- Tax increases, spending so much and not fixing all issues.
- New high school with no athletic events space. Too much traveling for athletes especially if new high school is not on the west hill.
- That we are not doing enough.
- We lose the heart of the community if we lose sight of coming together and make this decision an opportunity to "attack" versus opportunity to truly come together and address this opportunity.
- I'm worried that it's still too big and people won't bite.
- Can ask for more \$ for Stillson updates?
- Cost to senior citizens.
- I'm worried that there is no guarantee that the rest of the needs will happen in the future. I supported the original plan.
- That the Board will do nothing to address needs.
- The voices of those choosing not to attend these sessions and how strong the voices are....
- Short term fix.
- That the Board will not pose the question to the public in November.

- The cost, however, as the student numbers increase so will the space needs increase. A new school will be necessary sooner than later.
- Affordability for senior citizens. Most people will not understand if they don't get educated.
- Moving Hillcrest into the Middle School.
- If HS is built, when will Stillson be addressed? Windows for emergencies should be a priority.
- Rising taxes driving lower income families away and not having the need for space
- Too much emphasis on a new high school.
- Retired on limited income.
- That the cost for both options will be too much for the average family.
- The cost of 115 million will never pass a referendum. Talking with many of my friends and neighbors I have found no one who will vote for this kind of money.
- Property tax increases as there are a lot of retired folks.
- If we build high school (option B) what happens to Stillson other than updates/repairs? Space issue not addressed, can we fit something in for them?
- Cost – monthly impact.
- Why are the Stillson kids not going to Halmstad? (Re-shift the kids/school lines).
- Not affording my property taxes.
- Loss of neighborhood school model.
- Too much staff input – big wish list – unrealistic.
- I'm worried Stillson would be built miles away from the students of Stillson.
- Whether or not Stillson facility will survive safely 10-15 years.
- Taxes
- New changes in education in next 10-20 years. Several questions for referendum.
- What will happen/what alternate plans are in place if a referendum does not pass?
- Being taxed out of my home with additional increase in Hallie and Chippewa Falls.
- That if people are not aware of water issues w/ Stillson they will vote for space, and we will need a new school a few years down the road.
- Space and conditions/rebuild location at Stillson.
- I worry that the needs at Stillson can't wait 10 years if Option B passes.
- That the community won't understand the future financial impact of waiting.
- Plans seem very different.
- That a referendum won't pass, and that nothing will happen.
- Plan B – will Stillson make it 10-15-20 more years? Our plumbing doesn't work now.
- The high % tax increase.
- Holding off on certain aspects of each plans and not doing everything right away.
- That the population as a whole has enough information to say yes to a referendum.
- The two plans will divide the community and neither will pass.
- If ideas of where population will grow, what additional needs will be needed in the future and enough is put in place now for that.
- We are looking at making management comfortable and not the real focus being on education for the student.
- Stillson won't last 10-15 years as Option B suggests.
- That the Board will continue to do nothing!! You are on the board to move district forward, not backward.

WHAT QUESTIONS DO YOU STILL HAVE?

- None
- None
- Why are Options A & B both at \$115m?
- So with either plan there will be a 2nd referendum?
- How many students graduated in 1988 and how many did in 2015?
- What is the Board afraid of? Stand up and lead or get off the Board!
- Can we go back to Option 1 – do it all?
- Could that problem result in the school closing? Resulting in loss of educational time as well as staff time/pay?
- What is the next course of action should the referendum fail?
- Whether or not Stillson facility will survive safely 10-15 years.
- Will the? be re-occurring and if so for how long?
- Student population over the next 5, 10, 20 years.
- How to meet the needs of a deteriorating building while impacting the most amount of students?
- Use of Dorais Field? Traffic on Highway N?
- Stillson alternatives – can Stillson be added to option B?
- If we do space first, will we waste a ton of money keeping Stillson already?
- What has spending been on difference school last 20 years.
- None – what do the teacher’s want.
- \$115 million is still way too high?
- Are these budget neutral options? Using energy efficiency upgrades? Are these options to space the cost out?
- Bussing costs if school is moved.
- Is there no room in Option B to improve Stillson? Which option benefits the most kids?
- Is there a cap on how much each household would pay? My rate = \$1000 per year. This is too much.
- Location of either rebuild would be an issue.
- How can Stillson not be included in Option B. There are similar space issues at Stillson. How can Stillson be the main priority for basic issues (water) and ignored on the other plan?
- Cost of just a new high school.
- Would there be new tennis courts at the new HS?
- I think more community education needs to be done.
- You’ve budgeted for the bare minimum for the high school. So what have we left out that we need!
- What exactly will be repaired.
- None – great presentation.
- Will repairs be completed at Stillson for Option B.
- Specific timeline goals.
- Why can’t a district this size have a single campus at a single large location?
- How will information from the Board’s next steps be communicated to the community?
- Why are there only two options.
- I live on a fixed income and have to live in that amount of money. I have to make that work!
- None
- Why can’t option B somehow make Stillson a little better... example, plumbing!
- Why would the Board be questioning this? Stillson is the RIGHT choice.
- How long would Stillson have to wait for a new school if Option B passes?
- What is process for obtaining land for high school?
- Where on County Highway N will the new HS go?

- How will challenges facing Stillson be addressed if Option B is put up for referendum?
- Why would you even consider not putting a pool in the new HS?
- It was explained very well.
- 10-15 return – the cost of additional taxes.
- Stillson!
- I think I like Option B better, but we can add some to help Stillson out, maybe increase a little bit. \$
- If not a November vote, then what? Our buildings and staff can't keep "just making it work".
- Plumbing and sewage at Stillson.
- Repairs in buildings – how long can we hold the buildings together.
- No matter which option is chosen it will not solve the needs of the other option. The Board will then request more money to solve those needs.
- Why aren't you redrawing school boundary lines to balance population?
- Why have we not been maintaining our building all along? Enrollment goes up and down.
- Where is student growth? The lake area?
- Option A-B long term – when will they be asking for more?
- Is the money for purchase/sale of land/buildings part of this plan? Where would money from sales go?
- How long is the loan amount for? That info does not seem to be available to the general public, which causes me concern.
- Did you only go through ATSR?
- When you got costs did you go out to bid to see if we can get more for less?
- Why can't you add on to the high school?
- Projected time frame on rebuilding Stillson or high school, if that option is not chosen right away.
- Why does the school board keep pushing these unnecessary 'new buildings' and school closing when the community has already objected!!
- Can you build and not add to my taxes?
- If Option B were selected, when will the condition of Stillson be addressed.
- What are the loan terms? Precise details on how \$ will be spent.
- Location/land cost.
- Each option leaves the other option unfulfilled and will require another referendum.
- Timeline – when would these changes happen. We live on the west hill and I want to know if my kids will still be able to walk to school.
- A Central Office does not directly help students. Work at other options rather than build.
- Why not build a pool in the new high school that includes a diving well? As the pool currently sits, not competitive for the swim and dive team.
- Have other communities around the state or country been approached to see how they expanded. What worked, what didn't.
- Are local businesses given first selection for the bidding process?
- What was on the first referendum for last year? Seems like it was done before all the vetting and research was done.
- Was there any consideration if learning trends change from small group back to rows and columns. Can either option cover the move?
- Sounds like Stillson needs to be demolished and moved.
- I strongly agree with a resolution. HS preferably but is a great start.
- If the 'hospital' property isn't used for Stillson, does it get sold or saved for something later.
- None – very clear to me now.
- Specifics on fixes/upgrades that would be included in either option.
- Where would the new administration be built?
- Has it been thought of as to ask community business and other alumni to donate set up funds.
- I understand the tax impact per year, but how many years will this impact be felt (10 years, 20

years, 30 years?)

- Space to have a road to schools.
- With Option A what is the complete timeline for future expansion, i.e. when would another building be built.
- Specifics – blueprints. Will classroom sizes change? Yearly budget impact?
- Good info. Thanks.
- If Option A says Stillson has critical needs, but Option B doesn't include any Stillson upgrades, how can I believe how critical these needs are – vice versa for HS.
- Don't you think virtual education will become more prevalent? There by decreasing enrollment.
- Will the Art room in Stillson still stay the art room once it used to be a one room school house?
- If we build a new high school, what happens to Stillson?
- What is the marketing and promotions plan to get this plan voted favorably? Potentially most important at this point.
- Time lines for opposite option after one is chosen. More specifically.
- How long will it take to build the new HS?
- Whatever option will the school Board be coming to the residents in 5, 10, 15 years asking for more!
- If passed how long until another referendum? Already paying 20 years on loan if this is passed.
- Disappointed that a more economically option was not presented. What happens if this is defeated. Most citizens agree that we need to do something but this is too costly.
- What are enrollment projections? This information has not been emphasized enough.
- I don't think the voting public understands the need/problem. What is the plan to push that?
- No need to build a new school?
- Why was ATS&R used this time and not SDS again?
- Consultant – local or out of town – metropolitan area!
- Can we trim the options down (70-100m) to make it more cost effective?
- Where would Stillson be rebuilt?
- None – information has been sufficient.
- Will additional referendums be needed before this one is complete?
- What happens to Stillson if Option B takes? 30+m spent in 5 years.
- Why does everything have to be bundled, why not address each item separately.
- I wonder if community would support a bigger price tag if it was a hybrid of plans, example – maintain neighbor schools and still meet needs. I'd like to hear how options will affect student outcomes.
- Why not consider having a budget that includes long term maintenance and upgrades?
- None except when would the new buildings be opened (either Stillson or high school).
- How will bussing be addressed.
- None
- None

WHAT DO YOU THINK THE BOARD SHOULD FOCUS ON FIRST?

Conditions, by focusing on rebuilding Stillson: 59

COMMENTS:

- Could live with this too.
- No, absolutely not.
- Cty Hwy I location makes most sense.
- Maybe build another Middle School. Why do we need a new high school?
- Please just fix the plumbing.
- I favor this one. I like idea of two questions on ballot. #1 Conditions, #2. Option B: I don't like field being away from school (but I know this doesn't affect education).

- Either – really – can Stillson hang on.
- Keeping Stillson in the same general area is important. If Option B, Seymour Cray looks appealing, Cty I by hospital – traffic concerns?
- NO rebuilding Stillson.
- Rebuilding Stillson – not necessarily this, repairs?
- I would not support building a new high school without athletic facilities at same site.
- Why raze all of Stillson? The south end is newer, save that portion.
- With some adjustments!!! And Jim Falls.
- Rebuilding Stillson – only at current site. I don't think a new high school is necessary.
- The needs will change in the next years.
- Why does the whole school need to be torn down?
- Stillson needs repair – increase referendum amount to/fix (new) Stillson and build HS –no need for C.O. – accommodate at KC.
- Stillson – This school is part of our community. Having Stillson is a tradition and a REASON why we moved there!
- Both conditions and space issues are important.
- I would not be in favor of new high school or even buying the land. Renovate Middle School and renovate high school for conditions. For now, continue looking for space for District building.

Space issues, by focusing on the addition of a high school: 91

COMMENTS:

- But I would like to see some help to Stillson to help it now as long as it will take to get another referendum.
- Both – our community is in need.
- I'm comfortable with both or either. We need to properly educate our children.
- But fix water at Stillson. Look at 10 years for a new school at Stillson.
- Put Option B as the main referendum and then do an add-on "if yes" question about fixing or rebuilding Stillson.
- But Stillson needs more attention than offered in Option B.
- With attention to Stillson
- Add a pool to Option B. Get creative – ask for donations – hospital, etc. If a rebuild Stillson on SW corner of current lot? We really need to do a slightly larger option than either of these.
- I do not want to see areas of high importance neglected.
- But I'm really concerned about conditions at Stillson.
- I think there needs to be additional help for Stillson. A loan? The potential \$30 M saved from interest if by end of 2016.
- It would be great if improvements to Stillson would be included.
- If we need a new high school that bad. But, having been in both HS and middle school, I don't see where they really need that much improvement. I don't want my taxes to raise that much.
- The majority of our priorities are addressed – for the same money.
- It's so hard to choose but this makes more sense.
- Meets needs of more students even though Stillson has to wait.
- It solves many problems with one solution! Go Option B.
- Both conditions and space issues are important.
- Option B makes the most sense.
- Makes the most sense.
- Space issues, but not sure if over 100 million will ever be accepted. Maybe start smaller – even though Option B sounds most logical to me.
- Since the high school is the flagship school for a district it should be the one that is capable of attracting others to move to Chippewa.
- We need to have a new and beautiful high school. The kids and teachers need more space.

- Domino effect addresses more issues.
- Without the caveat that lack of competition space is not good.
- Please stay on the west hill.
- Not enough detail for Option B. No purchase of property – no designs. Option B is better but need more details.
- To not have a complete HS campus, with full athletic facilities and music space, and classroom space is a waste for the community. You are now asking for more students to facilitate travel to practices and competitions.
- I'd like to see the HS stay on the west hill.

Neither because: 28

COMMENTS:

- Tax implications are too great. I agree buildings need to be maintained but do not feel all the extra spaces are needed.
- Just rebuild Stillson.
- Don't need new school. Fix, fix, fix, fix.
- The district has not focused on maintaining current facilities and future space requirements.
- \$115,000,000 will require 15% increase in taxes 10% should be max.
- Too much grouped into the categories at once. Totally back building a new Stillson and remodeling a few elementary schools but against pupil service, Korger store and Central Office getting a new building. Both options also make sure that Halmstad and Jim Falls get something done.
- Bot put money into Jim Falls. Why can't it be combined with Stillson on Cty Hwy 1?
- More public awareness needed – cost concerns.
- We need to focus on one plan that will meet most of the needs now.
- It's band aids. Magic bullet. My biggest question is do I contact a real estate agent?
- Departments need to have a better analysis of their own spending. Special Ed is an example where the Director told staff a few years ago that money needed to be spent on anything so desks were purchased that weren't really needed. Departments need to be fiscally responsible, accountable to tax payers. How is this being managed better? My tax dollars should not fund public employee's retirement so they can stop working prior to age 60.
- Is there no time line for repairs to the buildings at this point and in the future? Will we be at this point in 10 years again?
- If you are going to do it – do it right. Create a high school that has not limitations. Stillson is a concern. I would do it right the first time. Do not half ass do it.
- I'm not completely satisfied with either option – space focus with more repair and improvement would be better.
- Necessary repairs by establishing a priority system. Focus should be on the highest priority areas and space needs.
- I live on a fixed income and I have to live in that amount of money. I have to make that work!
- Existing facilities should be renovated. Student populations should be shifted.
- Get 165mm option instead of \$115 and \$115mm.
- Repair and maintenance of all schools should be top priority.
- Consider impact on talent attraction. Re-consider 167 m
- Are there space issues in the high school? What do you do with million-dollar field, track, concessions, practice field?
- I would like to see an option having remodels of schools needing space rather than a new high school and relocating so many students.
- If had to fix and better, fix Stillson or build. How come you failed to mention the term of the loan? Didn't do it in the survey either!! How frequently is the community asking for access to school's space.
- You need to establish the exact location of the high school before moving forward.

- Focus on what the community wants!!
- The issues should have been dealt with when the problems arose. Poor building maintenance has led to these issues. Space issues – ever heard of a second floor at Hillcrest? We need more than these two choices!
- You need to fix what you have!
- Students are more important than (fancy) buildings.
- A new school and offices do not guarantee better education! Why didn't you fix the buildings in the first place? If I have a leak in my roof, I would fix it before something else is damaged. Apparently, the maintenance department has failed its job!
- Find an option that addresses fixing the problems but a lower price tag.
- Were two elementary buildings considered? Hillcrest/Stillson. Move Central Office to Hillcrest.
- Focus on suggestions by professionals. Community values are naturally biased.
- Neither plan addresses remodeling or adding additions as options for space. Neither addresses staffing shortages. Neither addresses natural light or air quality concerns.

IF ONE OF THESE OPTIONS WERE ON THE BALLOT IN NOVEMBER, WOULD YOU VOTE FOR IT:

Yes: 126

Yes – because:

- We need to do something. It will only get more expensive.
- Need second question to address Stillson needs.
- If I felt comfortable with it.
- Only for conditions.
- Being on the Board is not a popularity contest. If you are worried about “no” voters being vocal or mad – tough! Do your job!! Isn't it ironic that the loud “no” people refuse to be educated on the numbers and data? They don't value education (not even their own). Keep emotions OUT of this decision!!
- I will vote for either but prefer Stillson.
- Working toilets and water is a basic need for student.
- It will cost us more to deter any of this.
- All of this needs to be done, but building the high school and shuffling the rest makes the most sense. We have a high schooler and he went to Stillson for elementary. Having experienced both Stillson and Chi High, we know that the new high school that includes alleviating space issues elsewhere is the wisest decision at this point.
- I would support both.
- At the end of it: I'd like to see new neighborhood school for Stillson.
- However, I truly believe a decision needs to be able to include Stillson heavy remodel at least. It needs help!
- Stillson needs fixing or rebuilt and this place is a dump. Kids not being able to flush toilets..really?
- For Stillson – could someone call WI DNR and a well drilling to get estimate cost of new well if DNR allow.
- Water and sewer need to be fixed no matter what at Stillson.
- I would support either option.
- Only if Stillson is to be built in same location or very close.
- I would be happy to pay more in taxes in order to fund an even more aggressive plan.
- Again – I think the key is the voting public needs to understand the need/problem. If they don't, the cost is important. You can get people to “go along” with it even though they don't want to. But only if understand the need.
- Thanks so much to the school board members for all the time they have donated to this

process. Thanks to the school administration for their hard work and giving us options and all the information

- Something has to be done.
- New high school.
- With changes - I would vote for Option 1 w/o land purchase for high school but I want to see cost under \$75 million. Cost of \$115 million is way too high for this community and businesses to support. No District building.
- But only for the conditions/Stillson option.
- Thank you!
- I would do the high school right or do all of it and pay greater amount.
- Depending on cost of option.
- I would like to see a full athletic facility to include a football field, track, swimming pool, soccer fields, etc. This is a Division 1 school!!
- But with full athletic facilities including pool.
- Our system needs to change to reflect our priorities. I'm willing to pay more taxes. What if we taxed alcohol in our community to pay our schools? Our children are worth the \$.
- Because something is better than nothing.
- The Board and the community has the opportunity to live up to a Division I district for both academics and athletics/activities. Do NOT shortchange the kids. High School facilities stand as the cornerstone of districts.
- \$22 is not much to pay for safety of our kids!
- Only Option A (Stillson).
- For Stillson –nothing else! There should be a question and answer.
- If payment can be better explained better chunked payment for each project that is processed.
- Yes – but I am still concerned by the cost especially for elderly and those already struggling to pay bills.
- More info needed.
- Yes, but with full athletic facilities. What about Title A? Girls swimming is a huge sport in Chippewa and the MS pool is old and will need major renovations in the future.
- If I know future cost.
- Heidi E. did a great job – made a difficult topic/issue seem easy and clear.
- Excellent presentation with lots of information.

No, because: 37

- Too many needs will be left unmet.
- \$115 m is just too much.
- Need more details.
- The items should be broken down into smaller pieces.
- I feel HS could be improved or added on to. Plan B seems like a big “want”. If it's not good enough for high schoolers, why would we move middle school there? I feel to get Plan B more “passable” – baseball field, field, etc. Focus on human needs and educational needs (and that's coming from a coach and parent of youth athletes). I feel it's my responsibility to pay for youth sport's needs, gym time, not tax payers. I don't have hockey players and didn't have to pay for new rink. Why would we reverse it?
- I would only vote for B if Stillson is addressed – new school. Also want 8 lane pool and diving.
- Too high an increase that doesn't address need versus wants.
- Cut costs in half. It might pass.
- 20 years too long. Still not clear what long range plans are after this referendum would pass.
- I don't want my taxes to raise so much!
- Cost.
- Too much in one shot. Too bad it's gotten to this point where the school district is in this

position. \$60 m might have a chance.

- Do not agree with how easy you make the property tax increase affordable to everyone.
- Higher taxes will push home buyers and businesses away, creating a smaller tax base and even more rental properties.
- Too expensive – no pool at HS??
- Tax increase excessive.
- Not as presented (see previous question/answer: Neither plan addresses remodeling or adding additions as options for space. Neither addresses staffing shortages. Neither addresses natural light or air quality concerns.)
- There are too many items within the options I don't agree with.
- I live on a fixed income and have to live in that amount of money. I have to make that work!
- Costs too high to individual tax payer. It's too bad the district has not done bonding in the last 10 to 15 years. Smaller less expensive options should be looked at.
- I feel there are better alternatives.
- It does not fix the problem. You need Option 1 and 2 to fix it. I would rather spend 165mm.
- It involves more than what I am willing to pay for. Work on maintenance needs first.
- What does \$115 million get us – details not just a high school? Where, how long will improvements last before asking for more.
- I understand there are many things to look at, but to be able to vote 'yes', I would need to see a better plan for where building would go. I live on a budget, which means I need to know what I am planning to spend my money on. Different locations could greatly impact costs down the road. How is this being taken into consideration? Why is the Hwy I location not in play for Option B? What would happen with that land?
- Fix, Stillson same area, fix Hillcrest, too \$\$\$and term of loan. Read survey results, community clearly said having a new high school was at the bottom of their wants! Yet still an Option B! Heavy focus for little desire! Pushing an agenda? The surveys were frustrating! Definitely felt as though there was an agenda. You chose the options (you failed to show the true ranking ... new high school fell at bottom). Take your time – don't push for November. Yes, a lot of people vote in November but do you truly think they are informed? What was the sample size of the survey respondents? Was it truly representative? No true data from surveys were showed?!
- Too many unknowns – why or why not add on to the high school – where would new high school be?
- Would vote for HS but missing athletics such as pool and fields. Worried there are other aspects missing. When a new HS is constructed everything needed should be added the first time around.
- The Board should work with what they have.
- These are not options – it's the same thing. No new high school. No closing of Hillcrest. Figure something else out. I will actively fight against these options.
- I can't afford more property taxes. If you have land – build a field house and fix the rest. Add on to Chi High.
- I don't want to lose my home.
- Price needs to be lower.
- The plans are still too vague due to a lack of specifics, like location, land cost, and a lack of onsite athletic facilities.

Undecided: 9

Undecided because:

- Still undecided because I wish there was info available on why the H.S. can't be remodeled. May building a new elementary on west hill for Hillcrest and then incorporate that building into the H.S.??
- Undecided – because it's lots of money and lots of taxes. I understand the needs better, but maybe break the work into smaller sections over years? Nice presentation!
- Not sure yet, especially HS site. Love all the opportunities for community feedback! Wish more people would come.
- Still undecided at this time.
- Undecided we still have too many questions and feel Stillson does not need to be totally razed.
- I would vote for the Stillson school option, not the high school one.

**CFAUSD FACILITIES FEEDBACK
SUMMARY – AUGUST 2016
OUT OF DISTRICT RESPONSES**

WHAT ARE YOU GLAD TO SEE THE BOARD IS CONSIDERING?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Keeping Stillson • Supporting student needs – both condition and space. • Attending to the needs of our schools so the staff members are able to meet the needs of each student in this new era of education.
WHAT WORRIES DO YOU HAVE?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Going over budget. • If nothing passes, then what? • If Option B happens – what happens to Stillson on current needs that need to be addressed. • That we won't go to a referendum and the concerns for our schools won't be addressed.
WHAT QUESTIONS DO YOU STILL HAVE?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where is Stillson? (not from Chippewa) • Water issues, student drop off, building maintenance and learning environment. • If conditions aren't addressed now, when will they be? And vice versa?
WHAT DO YOU THINK THE BOARD SHOULD FOCUS ON FIRST?
<p>Conditions, by focusing on rebuilding Stillson: 2 COMMENTS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Creeps me out about the bathrooms! • If they go with option B – Please, please include plumbing upgrade – makes me think I need to go to Catholic school if Stillson is in terrible shape. <p>Space issues, by focusing on the addition of a high school: 1 COMMENTS:</p> <p>Neither because: COMMENTS:</p>
IF ONE OF THESE OPTIONS WERE ON THE BALLOT IN NOVEMBER WOULD YOU VOTE FOR IT?
<p>Yes: 3 COMMENTS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Have those teachers talked about the bathrooms and plumbing? • If I lived here, yes. Don't live in area. CFAUSD staff. • I am an employer who does not currently reside in the district so I won't be able to vote. <p>No because: 1 COMMENTS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Out of district • Stillson concerns: 1) Classroom sizes 2) Small group settings to accommodate RTI 3) Ventilation/air quality windows, heat not consistent (too hot/cold) 4) Water leaks from roof 5) Student drop off – takes 20 minutes to drop off in morning (lots of traffic not safe for